

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/739,950	12/18/2000	Eugene E. Rhodes	199-1883	4815
759	90 07/30/2002			
Daniel H. Bliss			EXAMINER	
Bliss McGlynn, P.C.			PATEL, NIHIR B	
Suite 600	Dogway Dog d		ratel, r	NITIK D
2075 West Big Beaver Road Troy, MI 48084			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/739,950	RHODES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nihir Patel	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C Patent and Tradamed Office	<u> </u>					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, drawn to claims 1 through 5 in paper 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the arguments made by the applicant concerning method of making a turbulator and a heat exchanger, the examiner contends that the corrugated fins of claims 1 through 5 could possibly be formed by a molding process and that the tubes of the turbulator of claims 6 through 10 could possibly have been welded together. This is not found persuasive because it is only a possibility that the molding process could be used in forming corrugated fins and a possibility that welding process could be used to join tubes of the turbulator.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 through 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asano et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,078,207. Referring to claim 1, Asano discloses a heat exchanger and fin for the same that comprises a plurality of corrugated fins each having a base extending laterally and longitudinally in a strip; and a plurality of offset louvers spaced along the base and extending longitudinally and generally perpendicular to the base n an alternating manner, the offset louvers being rolled in a direction parallel to a longitudinal axis of the strip. Refer to figure 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/739,950

Art Unit: 3743

Referring to claim 2, Asano shows that the offset louvers extend longitudinally a predetermined distance. Refer to figure 10.

Referring to claim 3, Asano shows that the offset louvers are spaced laterally a predetermined distance along the base. Refer to figure 10.

Referring to claim 4, Asano shows that the louvers extend generally perpendicular to the base a predetermined distance. Refer to figure 10.

Referring to claim 5, Asano shows that the offset louvers have a generally inverted "U" cross-sectional shape. Refer to figure 10.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (703) 308-0101.

NP

July 17, 2002

(Hen/ly Øennet

ervisory Patent Examine